

Self-adjoint extensions and Signature Change

I.L. Egusquiza[†]

Dpt. of Theoretical Physics

University of the Basque Country

Apdo. 644 P.K. - 48080 BILBAO

SPAIN

(8 March 1995)

Abstract

We study the selfadjoint extensions of the spatial part of the D'Alembert operator in a spacetime with two changes of signature. We identify a set of boundary conditions, parametrised by $U(2)$ matrices, which correspond to Dirichlet boundary conditions for the fields, and from which we argue against the suggestion that regions of signature change can isolate singularities.

04.20.Dw, 04.62.+v

I. INTRODUCTION

In a recent preprint, Alty and Fewster [1] reexamine the problem of signature changing gravitational backgrounds in terms of the existence of self-adjoint extensions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator. Even though this work does not answer the question of whether quantum theory makes sense in this kind of background, it has the merit of addressing the issue of well-posedness of the Klein-Gordon equation in them.

We shall extend their work to a spacetime with two discontinuous changes of signature and identify among the possible self-adjoint extensions a $(U(2))$ subset for which a Casimir effect is apparent. In the light of this result the Casimir effect itself will be reinterpreted.

The conclusion follows that a kleinian region in an otherwise lorentzian spacetime is unstable under the presence of a scalar quantum field, thus providing an argument against the suggestion that regions of signature change can isolate singularities.

It must be pointed out that a fairly heated debate concerning junction conditions for solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation in such signature changing backgrounds is still under way [2,3]. This short note does not concern itself with the issue in the manner debated, since the stress, following [1], is not on the existence of weak solutions, but rather on the selfadjointness of the character-changing differential operator in an $L^2(\mathbf{R})$ context.

II. THE METRIC AND THE SELF ADJOINT EXTENSIONS.

Consider a metric of the form

$$ds^2 = dt^2 + (1 - 2\theta(x + a) + 2\theta(x - a))dx^2.$$

The spatial (x) part of the associated D'Alembertian is evidently well-defined on the domain of infinitely continuously differentiable functions with compact support not including the points $x = \pm a$. We look for self-adjoint extensions of this Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ in the Hilbert space of square integrable functions on the real line. As is well known [4], this

will be achieved if we examine the kernels of $\Delta^* \mp i$ and find them to be unitarily equivalent. That is, we have to look for normalizable solutions (ψ) to the problem

$$\langle \psi | \Delta \varphi \rangle = \mp i \langle \psi | \varphi \rangle ,$$

for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{-a, a\})$, and the standard measure in $\mathbf{R}$.

Orthonormal bases for each of these two subspaces are readily found to be four-dimensional, thus proving the operator to be amenable to self-adjoint extension. The family of self-adjoint extensions is parametrized by elements of the $U(4)$ group, as follows. Let the bases for $\text{Ker}(\Delta^* \mp i) = \mathcal{K}^\pm$ be

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1^+(x) &= 2^{1/4} e^{a/\sqrt{2}} e^{\lambda x} (1 - \theta(x+a)) , \\ \chi_2^+(x) &= \frac{2^{1/4} \sinh \lambda^3 x (\theta(x+a) - \theta(x-a))}{\sqrt{\sinh(\sqrt{2}a) - \sin(\sqrt{2}a)}} , \\ \chi_3^+(x) &= \frac{2^{1/4} \cosh \lambda^3 x (\theta(x+a) - \theta(x-a))}{\sqrt{\sinh(\sqrt{2}a) + \sin(\sqrt{2}a)}} , \\ \chi_4^+(x) &= 2^{1/4} e^{a/\sqrt{2}} e^{-\lambda x} \theta(x-a) , \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1^-(x) &= 2^{1/4} e^{a/\sqrt{2}} e^{-\lambda^3 x} (1 - \theta(x+a)) , \\ \chi_2^-(x) &= \frac{2^{1/4} \sinh \lambda x (\theta(x+a) - \theta(x-a))}{\sqrt{\sinh(\sqrt{2}a) - \sin(\sqrt{2}a)}} , \\ \chi_3^-(x) &= \frac{2^{1/4} \cosh \lambda x (\theta(x+a) - \theta(x-a))}{\sqrt{\sinh(\sqrt{2}a) + \sin(\sqrt{2}a)}} , \\ \chi_4^-(x) &= 2^{1/4} e^{a/\sqrt{2}} e^{\lambda^3 x} \theta(x-a) , \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $\lambda = \exp(i\pi/4) = (1+i)/\sqrt{2}$.

The functions in $\mathcal{K}^+$ can be thus written as

$$\psi_+ = (\chi_1^+, \chi_2^+, \chi_3^+, \chi_4^+) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

for some parameters α to δ .

For each self-adjoint extension, parametrised by a unitary transformation U , the latter can be written as a unitary matrix acting on the vector of parameters, thus having that the domain of the self-adjoint extension is given by the addition (to the infinitely continuously differentiable functions with compact support not including the points $x = \pm a$) of the functions

$$(\chi_1^+, \chi_2^+, \chi_3^+, \chi_4^+) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} + (\chi_1^-, \chi_2^-, \chi_3^-, \chi_4^-) U \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

The explicit expressions of $\chi_i^\pm$ allow us to write $\psi(x)$, whence $\psi(-a^+)$ and $\psi(a^-)$ can be readily computed. Were we to demand that both these values equal zero for any set of parameters, we would see that this is achievable provided we restrict ourselves to a $U(2)$ subgroup of the original $U(4)$ possible self-adjointness conditions; namely, U has to be given by

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & 0 & a_{14} \\ 0 & e^{2i\nu} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -e^{2i\mu} & 0 \\ a_{41} & 0 & 0 & a_{44} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{14} \\ a_{41} & a_{44} \end{pmatrix}$$

is a $U(2)$ matrix, and ν and μ are given explicitly by

$$\tan(\nu) = -\coth(a/\sqrt{2}) \tan(a/\sqrt{2}),$$

and

$$\tan(\mu) = -\tanh(a/\sqrt{2}) \tan(a/\sqrt{2}).$$

It is immediate to observe (from the very block structure of the U matrix, for example) that the initial data for the derivatives of ψ at $\pm a^\mp$ are disconnected from the data at $\pm a^\pm$: the region with the “correct”, Minkowskian signature decouples from the Kleinian region, but maintaining at the same time the necessary self-adjointness of the Laplace operator.

It is thus clear that it would be consistent, in attempting to quantize a scalar field in the signature-changing background under consideration, to impose Dirichlet boundary conditions on the signature-changing walls, thus leading to a Casimir effect that would correspond to an attraction between the walls [5,6].

In this context the Casimir effect can be then understood as being due to the following complex of facts: whereas a positive frequency separation is feasible (with Dirichlet boundary conditions) in the Minkowskian region, the operator problem in the Kleinian region has no means to be understood as a propagation problem. Therefore, positive and negative energies are completely mixed in the Kleinian region, thus leading to a negative energy density with respect to the Minkowskian part of spacetime. As a consequence, the collapsing motion of the walls is energetically favourable.

III. CONCLUSIONS

We can now argue against the suggestion that whenever naked singularities appear in stringy gravity these will be isolated from real observers by a region of signature change. For an (important) example of such behaviour, see [7]. It seems from the arguments above that imposing Dirichlet boundary conditions on the fields at the signature changing boundaries we should get a sensible quantum field theory (insofar as that is at all possible, of course) in the signature changing gravitational background under consideration. The presence of these quantum fields would then make these signature changing boundaries unstable, and the region of Kleinian signature would either collapse, thus unstripping the naked singularity of its hypothetical veil, or it would expand without bound, reproducing the nasty effects of an unchecked nakedness.

No comment has been made on the classical/quantum stability of cosmological solutions with signature change, but it can now be noticed that i) classical stability has already been studied by other authors [8]; ii) in such contexts there would normally be just one signature changing spacelike surface.

Another point has to be raised: in this note we have exclusively dealt with a discontinuous signature change. Another long debate can also be found in the literature concerning the respective merits of continuous versus discontinuous signature change, but in our case this will not be so very relevant, since the results put forward, namely, that the generalized Laplace operator admits a self-adjoint extension which corresponds to Dirichlet boundary conditions on the signature-changing wall, still hold, as can be checked with ease for the $dt^2 + xdx^2$ metric, for instance.

REFERENCES

[†] e-mail: wtpegegi@lg.ehu.es

- [1] L.J. Alty and C.J. Fewster, Initial value problems and signature change, DAMTP R95/1, gr-qc/9501026
- [2] T. Dray, C.A. Manogue, R.W. Tucker, Gen. Rel. Grav. **23** 967- 971 (1991); T. Dray, C.A. Manogue, R.W. Tucker, Phys. Rev. **D48** 2587-2590 (1993); S.A. Hayward, *Junction conditions for signature change*, gr-qc/9303034 ; S.A. Hayward, Class. Quantum Grav. **11** L87-L90 (1994); T. Dray, C.A. Manogue, R.W. Tucker, *Boundary conditions for the scalar field in the presence of signature change*, gr-qc/9501034; S.A. Hayward, *Comment on “Boundary conditions for the scalar field in the presence of signature change”*, gr-qc/9502001.
- [3] L.J. Alty, Class. Quantum Grav. **11** 2523-2536 (1994).
- [4] J. Weidmann, *Linear operators in Hilbert Spaces*, Springer Verlag, New York 1980.
- [5] S.A. Fulling, *Aspects of Quantum Field Theory in Curved Space-Time*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 17. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1989).
- [6] N.D. Birrell and P.C.W. Davies, *Quantum fields in curved space*, Cambridge University Press (1982).
- [7] M.J. Perry and E. Teo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70** 2669 (1993).
- [8] S.A. Hayward, Class. Quantum Grav. **9** 1851-1862 (1992).